


1st TRANSNATIONAL MEETING EVALUATION SURVEY FOR PARTICIPANTS: DATA ANALYSIS

A questionnaire consisting of ten closed-ended questions was applied to the 12 teachers that participated in the first transnational meeting of the partnership held in Portugal, from 2nd to 6th November 2015 (travelling days included) in order to know their opinions about it. The results are as follows:


1. INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE HOST SCHOOL BEFORE THE MEETING

It was considered to be very good by all participants.




2. DAILY PROGRAMME

Seven participants declared that the daily programme, which included the agenda of the meeting and some recreation activities after the end of the working sessions at 16:30, was very good and five that it was good.



3. ASSISTANCE GIVEN BY THE HOST SCHOOL

The great majority (11 participants) evaluated it with “very good”, only one did evaluate it with “good”.




4. FACILITIES AND RESOURCES OFFERED BY THE HOST SCHOOL

The facilities and resources offered by the host school were thought to be very suitable by most participants. Two participants considered them suitable and one somewhat suitable.



5. DAILY DURATION OF THE WORKING SESSIONS

For most participants (9) the daily duration of the working sessions during the meeting was appropriate. For 3 participants they were long, though.




6. THE WORKING SESSIONS HELD IN RELATION TO YOUR EXPECTATIONS

In relation to participants' expectations, the working sessions held were positive for most of them. For three participants they were very positive and for three somewhat positive.




7. TOPICS DEALT WITH DURING THE WORKING SESSIONS

As for the topics dealt with during the working sessions, they were considered to be very suitable by six participants, suitable by five and somewhat suitable by only one.



8. YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PROJECT AT THE MEETING

When asked about their own contributions to the project at the meeting, most participants (9) considered them to be good and a few of them very good (3).




9. INPUT INTO THE MEETING BY THE OTHER PROJECT PARTNERS

While almost all participants (11) considered their project partners' input into the meeting good, one stated that it was very good.



10. IMPORTANCE OF THE TRANSNATIONAL MEETING AS REGARDS THE PROJECT MATTERS

For almost all participants (11) this transnational meeting was of the utmost importance as regards the project matters and for one important.



CONCLUSIONS:

Bearing in mind the results of this survey, especially the fact that 11 participants out of 12 considered the meeting to have been very important as far as the implementation of this project is concerned, it can definitely be stated that the goals of meeting were met.

As for recommendations for the next meeting, considering that most participants stated that their own contributions to the meeting, as well as their partners' were good and not very good, a more active role from all future participants in the next meetings should be taken into account so that their expectations regarding the working sessions can be fully met.

Barreiro, 6th November 2015

Portuguese Coordinator

Cristina Ramalho